

In claim 7, line 2, after "up to" insert -- at least -- and delete "or more."

Sub
E2
At

12. (amended) The image corrector of claim 2 wherein said pinhole is replaced by
a first spatial filter [or a pinhole is] mounted before said objective and said second spatial
filter is mounted in the path of the reference beam before it interferes with said object
beam.

In claim 15, line 8, after "beam" insert --like one of the above--.

In claim 18, line 4, delete "the" and insert therefor -- an --, in line 9, delete "the"
and insert -- said -- and in line 11, after "beam" insert --like the above --.

In claim 21, line 10, after "beam" insert --like one of the above--.

In claim 32, line 8, after "beam" insert --like one of the above--.

In claim 33, line 1, delete "system".

11/E which one
In claim 36, line 2, delete "the" and insert therefor --said--.

In claim 37, line 3, delete "the" and insert therefor -- an-- and in line 10, after
"beam" insert --like the above--.

In claim 39, line 3, delete "through_said" and insert therefor --through said--.

REMARKS

Claims 1-39 are in the present application. Certain of these claims have been
amended as indicated above for clarity and no new matter has been added.

The Office Action rejection of claims 1, 2-14, 15-17, 18, 21-31, 32-36 and 37 as
indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, is respectfully traversed. No much

Thus "a coherent beam" has been narrowed "to a coherent beam like one of the
above" in line 10 of claims 1 & 2, and similarly in subsequent claims, to point out that the
illuminating beam can be the same as either the first coherent beam or the reference